

Weather Hazard Modeling Research and development: Recent Advances and Plans

Stephen S. Weygandt Curtis Alexander, Stan Benjamin, Geoff Manikin*, Tanya Smirnova, Ming Hu NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory *NOAA National Centers for Environmental Prediction

> Friends and Partners of Aviation Weather NBAA Convention, Nov 2-3, 2016



High-resolution models of hazardous weather now making very realistic forecasts

10

Loop of radar reflectivity **Observations**



10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50

lo File Missing





by 50% over past 5 years

Continued forecast improvement for Rapidly updated, High-resolution models



Improvement to moisture / microphysics / precipitation, boundary layer / surface energy balance, ensemble assimilation → Ongoing study to evaluate how forecast improvements map to aviation decision-making improvements (GSD, AvMet)

Accurate prediction of hazardous weather What are the key requirements?

Higher resolution models with better physics



Best use of observations (Ensemble data assimilation)



Rawins Satellite Aircraft Radar Surface Profiler

Rapid updating

-- Fresher forecasts -- Time-lagged ensembles

Wind Errors





The benefits of rapid updating: June 16, 2014 NE twin tornadoes



HRRR example of consistent solutions: May 11, 2014 NE squall-line



Arkansas Tornadoes April 27 2014



Arkansas tornadoes: very challenging case







100% Old way - one Forecast "deterministic" **SNOW** 80% forecast accumulation chances Lots of Information Looks confusing 60% Likelihooa New way - run an "ensemble" 40% of forecasts -25 get information 20% 20 20 15 about range of 10 5 5 possible outcomes 0 0% 5 2 6 8

Inches of snow

Example: Run model 100 times with slightly different "settings"

100% Old way - one Forecast "deterministic" **SNOW** 80% accumulation forecast chances 60% Likelihooc Almost New way - run NO chance an "ensemble" 40% Of less than 2" of forecasts -25 get information 20% 20 20 15 about range of 10 5 possible outcomes 0 0% 5 6 8 2

Inches of snow

Example: Run model 100 times with slightly different "settings"

Old way - one "deterministic" forecast New way - run an "ensemble" of forecasts get information about range of



Example: Run model 100 times with slightly different "settings"

Old way - one "deterministic" forecast New way - run an "ensemble" of forecasts get information about range of possible outcomes



you still get the deterministic forecast

Old way – one "deterministic" forecast New way – run

an "ensemble" of forecasts – get information about range of possible outcomes



Forecast users will make their own assumptions about the range of possible outcomes

Old way - one "deterministic" forecast Likelihooa New way - run an "ensemble" of forecasts get information about range of possible outcomes

One more key benefit from ensembles:



Old way – one "deterministic" forecast

New way – run an **"ensemble"** of forecasts – get information about range of possible outcomes

100% **Actual Forecast Better** snow single snow-80% accumfall snow ulation forecast chances 60% 40% 25 20% 15 10 5 5 0 0% 5 6 8 Inches of snow

One more key benefit ⁰ from ensembles:

"ensemble data assimilation" improves the deterministic forecast Need ensembles the most for the most challenging forecast situations

Types of ensembles:

1) Ensembles of opportunity

-- Time-lagged ensemble (HRRR-TLE) -- Multi-model ensembles (SSEO) ADS: computationally inexpensive DISADS: model clustering, members not independent



2) "Designed" ensembles

-- Perturbed members of single ensemble system (Global Ensemble Forecast System, HRRR-Ensemble) *ADS: More useful spread, ensemble assimilation DISADS: computationally expensive*

HRRR-TLE example: 18 April 2016



HRRR-TLE forecast probability of 6hr QPF exceeding 100 year average return interval (ARI)





HRRR Time-Lagged Ensemble (HRRR-TLE): GSD real-time product generation

Real-Time Web Graphics (and grids via LDM/FTP) http://rapidrefresh.noaa.gov/hrrrtle



HRRR Ensemble (HRRRE)

Web Graphics (real-time HRRRE to resume Nov. 2016) http://rapidrefresh.noaa.gov/HRRRE

- Single core (ARW)
- Ensemble DA (GSI-EnKF)
- RAP mean + perturbations

Planned Fall 2016 Refinements

- Add radar reflectivity data assimilation
- Stochastic physics
- Apply HRRR-TLE statistical processing
- Include lagged members?

Assimilation 20 members 1 hr cycling 21 fcsts / day Start 21z day zero End 18z day one

Forecast

- 00z Three mem to 30 hr
- 03z Three mem to 27 hr
- 12z Six mem to 18 hr
- 15z Eighteen mem to 15 hr
- 18z Eighteen mem to 12 hr

Proof-of-concept Real-time demonstration

Comparison: HRRR-TLE and HRRRE



HRRR-Ensemble 15z + 7 hr forecast **Time-Lagged Ensemble** 15z-17z initializations

A challenge of ensembles: Displaying output / conveying information



Rapid Refresh and **HRRR** NOAA hourly updated models

13km Rapid Refresh (RAP)

Version 3 -- NCEP implement 23 Aug 2016 -

Version 4 – GSD Planned NCEP – Early 2018

3km High Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR)

Version 2 – NCEP implement 23 Aug 2016

Version 3 - GSD

Planned NCEP – Early 2018



HRRR v3 -> plan HRRR-E (Ensemble)