CURRENT ICING PRODUCT - SEVERITY

OPERATIONAL SUITABILITY EVALUATION

Presented to: FPAW - Icing Panel

By: Dave Metzbower, AFS-410

Date: October 19, 2006



Introduction

- → Objectives
 - Product Development Concepts overview for understanding
 - General Operational Approach weather products
 - Current Icing Product (CIP) Evaluation
- → CIP Evaluation Results for Supplementary Use
- → Continuing CIP Evaluation Efforts

Concepts

- → Product Development
 - Technical Acceptance
 - Operational Suitability
- → Technical Acceptance
 - NCAR, AWC science (algorithms) and verification
 - NWS Operational supported 24/7 on website
- → Operational Suitability (mature product, science good)
 - Safety Management System (e.g., hazard ID)
 - Opns Suitability Testing vs. Subject Matter Expertise (SME)
 - SME = individual opinion, not formal
 - Opns Suitability Test = formal, structured, many individuals
 - AFS Operational approval for pilot use

Concepts

- → FAA POLICY (HBAT 05-01 & AIM)
 - Primary Weather Products
 - Supplementary Weather Products
- → Primary Weather Product
 - Meets all regulatory requirements and safety needs for flightrelated aviation weather decisions
- Supplementary Weather Product
 - Used for situational awareness
 - Must be used in conjunction with one or more primary weather products
 - May be restricted

Objectives: OPNS Suitability Eval

- → General: new weather products for pilot use -
 - Verify Hazard Log issues
 - Identify any new hazards
 - Roadmap for supplementary use by pilots
 - Preliminary roadmap for primary use by pilots
- → Current Icing Product (CIP) Evaluation
 - CIP operational suitable as a Supplementary Product with no restrictions for pilot use
 - Identify CIP operational issues for pilot use as Primary Product develop roadmap

CIP Evaluation

- → Evaluate CIP products for supplementary and primary use
 - Severity
 - Probability
 - Severity with probability overlay (25% and 50%)
- → Utilize for operational pilot use
 - Preflight
 - In-flight*
- * Note: While "In-Flight" use is being examined, the evaluation will not yield data appropriate for approving cockpit use.

CIP Evaluation Results: Supplementary

→ Product Usability

- 94% of pilots agreed that CIP Probability is easy to interpret
- 94% of pilots agreed that CIP Probability will enhance safety
- 100% of pilots agreed that CIP Severity is easy to interpret
- 87% of pilots agreed that CIP Severity with probability masking will enhance safety
- 100% of pilots agreed that CIP Severity categories are optimal for planning
- 100% of pilots agreed they would use CIP as a supplementary source for preflight planning
- 100% of pilots agreed they would use CIP to supplement inflight strategic and tactical decisions/planning

CIP Evaluation Results: Supplementary

→ Issues

- In some instances, CIP information was used as forecast information, not solely nowcast
- Integrated nowcast and forecast information would be useful for flight planning
- More frequent update rates would be beneficial (e.g., 15 minutes as opposed to hourly)
- Probability overlays on severity were considered more acceptable when used in conjunction with independent presentations of severity and probability information
- With practice, pilots would feel more comfortable using the probability overlays on the severity products

Continuing CIP Efforts

- → CIP Evaluation for primary use complete 11/06
- → CIP Final Report for (Supplementary & Preliminary Roadmap for Primary) 12/06

Acknowledgement

→ FAA Tech Center Weather Sensors Group in providing assistance with developing some of the slides that were used in this presentation

Contact Information:

David Metzbower

AFS-410

202-385-4570

David.Metzbower@faa.gov