### Winter Weather Issues

Oct. 19, 2006 Presentation at Friends and Partners in Aviation Weather meeting

> Roy Rasmussen, NCAR AWRP Winter Weather PDT lead

### Winter Weather Issues

#### **1. Ice Pellets**

- 2. Heavy Snow
- 3. Ingest of freezing drizzle by engines
- 4. Implementing real-time liquid equivalent rates one of the highest priority for the Ground Deicing Industry (2006 Portugal SAE Ground Deicing Meeting)
- **5. ASOS summary**
- 6. Winter Weather Research PDT future

#### FREQUENCY OF DE/ANTI-ICING OPERATIONS (ALL AIRPORTS) – SURVEY 2000-03



## FSAT 2006-2007

#### **HOLDOVER TIME TABLES**

#### **ICE PELLET ALLOWANCE TIME**

#### **HEAVY SNOW PROCEDURES**



WINTER 2006-2007

### Winter 2006-07 Deicing/Anti-icing Guidance: Ice Pellet Allowance Time Background

• "In October 2005, the FAA issued *Notices 8000.309*, **Dispatching During Precipitation Conditions of Ice** Pellets, Snow Pellets, or Other Icing Events for which No Hold Over Times Exist; and 8000.313, Parts 121 and 135 Operations Specifications for Deicing/Anti-icing Operations in Ice Pellets Without Deice/Anti-ice Fluids. As a result of these notices, industry requested the FAA conduct research to obtain data to support relief for some of the current conditions for which no holdover times exist. That research was conducted during the winter season of 2005-06."

## Ice Pellet testing results:

- c. Operators with a deicing program approved in accordance with Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations (14 CFR) part 121, section 121.629, will be allowed, in light ice pellet conditions with no other form of precipitation present, up to 25 minutes after the start of the anti-icing fluid application to commence the takeoff with the following restrictions:
  - **1.** The aircraft critical surfaces must be free of contaminants, or the aircraft be properly deiced prior to the application of the anti-icing fluid.
  - **2.** This allowance time, of up to 25 minutes, is valid only if the aircraft is anti-iced with undiluted Type IV fluid.

#### Octagon 50% data



Endurance Time (min)

## **Ice Pellets Issue:**

- After inspecting operations at many airlines during the winter 2005-2006, the FAA issued a Notice to Airman in October 2005 prohibiting operations during ice pellet conditions due to the fact that no holdover time exists for ice pellets.
- This notice had the potential to seriously impact operations at a number of airports such as Pittsburgh where such operations had been going on for many years (using a Pre-takeoff contamination check which allows 5 minutes to take off after the check).
- ATA requested a meeting on January 24, 2006 to discuss this issue as well as the heavy snow issue with the FAA.
- As a result, the FAA embarked on a test program for ice pellets through application of ice pellets on the wing of an aircraft during simulated takeoff.



## Ice Pellet Issues: (cont.)

- Based on testing, FAA has allowed a holdover time of 25 minutes during light ice pellet conditions only (no other type of precipitation present).
- Problem:
  - Ice pellets mixed with other types of precipitation 70% of the time (18% freezing rain, 18% rain, 37% snow), conditions for which the new holdover times do not apply.
  - The estimation of light ice pellet rates usually done by visibility. This technique as not been confirmed, nor has the over estimate of visibility at night been considered.

## Heavy Snow

### **Visibility Criteria for Snow Intensity**

Visibility (Statute Mile)								
≥2 1/2 2 1 1/2 1 3/4 1/2 ≤1/4								
Very Light	Very Light	Light	Light	Moderate	Moderate	Heavy		

### Modified Visibility Criteria for Snow Intensity Based on Temperature and Day or Night

	Temp.		Visibility (Statute Mile)							
Time of Day	(°C)	(°F)	≥2 1/2	2	1 1/2	1	3/4	1/2	≤1/4	
Dev	colder/equal -1	colder/equal 30	Very Light	Very Light	Light	Light	Moderate	Moderate	Heavy	Snow fall Inten
Day	warmer than -1	warmer than 30	Very Light	Light	Light	Moderate	Moderate	Heavy	Heavy	Sity
Night	colder/equal -1	colder/equal 30	Very Light	Light	Moderate	Moderate	Heavy	Heavy	Heavy	
inigin	warmer than -1	warmer than 30	Very Light	Light	Moderate	Heavy	Heavy	Heavy	Heavy	

**NOTE:** Based upon technical report, "The Estimation of Snowfall Rate Using Visibility," Rasmussen, et al., Journal of Applied Meteorology, October 1999 and additional in situ data.

HEAVY = Caution - no holdover time guidelines exist

## Heavy Snow

- **B.** Operators with a deicing program approved in accordance with 14 CFR part 121, section 121.629, will be allowed to take off in heavy snow conditions subject to the following restrictions:
- 1. The aircraft must be anti-iced with undiluted Type IV fluid.
- 2. The aircraft critical surfaces must be free of contaminants, or the aircraft be properly deiced prior to the application of the anti-icing fluid.
- 3. The operator must accomplish an approved tactile and/or visual check, as appropriate, of the aircraft critical surfaces within 5 minutes of takeoff.
- **4.** If this check is accomplished visually from within the aircraft, the view must be such that it is not obscured by de/anti-icing fluid, dirt, or fogging. If the critical surfaces cannot be seen due to snowfall, distance from the viewing position, or inadequate lighting, or for any other reason, the check must be a visual or tactile check conducted from outside the aircraft.
- **5.** If a definitive fluid failure determination cannot be made using the checks prescribed, takeoff is not authorized. The aircraft must be completely deiced, and if precipitation is still present, anti-iced again prior to a subsequent takeoff.

## Heavy Snow Issue:

 The use of the modified visibility table has resulted in heavy snow being reported much more frequently than before (~10 times more often).

# Need liquid equivalent rate in real-time!

for a pre-takeoff contamination check.

 Pilots are starting to ignore the modified visibility table, using the direct snowfall intensities from the METAR.



LOG LOG PLOT VISIBILITY VS. RATE (1995-96 to 2001-02)

Plate Pan Rate (g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h)

The lack of liquid equivalent and precipitation type real-time information updated every minute is having a significant impact on aircraft deicing operations and is a significant safety factor

- The liquid equivalent rate of snow, freezing rain, snow pellets, ice pellets, and freezing drizzle is the primary factor causing aircraft deicing fluids to fail.
- The current NWS estimates of snowfall and drizzle intensity available to pilots via METARS through ASOS and ATIS is based on visibility, not liquid equivalent.
- The use of a modified visibility table does not solve the problem, and in fact may make it worse!

Freezing drizzle ingest into engines can cause significant damage to fan blades NCAR (light freezing drizzle reported by METAR, heavy freezing drizzle actually occuring, J. Aircraft paper just published, Rasmussen et al.)



Precipitation Type sensor (Vaisala PWD-22) EPI sensor

## All Precip Checktime System

Hotplate (Yankee)

1=2

• Holdover Time determination

Checktime
determination

Freezing Rain sensor (Goodrich)

## Weighing Snowgauge (GEONOR)



## **ASOS Winter Issues**

#### **1.** Enhanced Precipitation Indicator

- Will Enable freezing drizzle detection and rate, ice pellet detection and rate and snow pellet detection, hail detection.
- Demonstration test at limited # of sites (focused on hail and ice pellet detection) this winter.
- FAA needs to commit to fund in order for this sensor to be deployed (business case not compelling). NWS will not deploy without FAA funding.

## 2. Liquid Equivalent based snowfall rate still not implemented!

 National Weather Service has proposed to implement at sites with liquid equivalent gauges, but FAA has not accepted.

>issues







## Need for METAR to report liquid equivalent rates instead of intensities based on visibility

## The following proposal was made to the FAA in the summer of 2003.

- 1. The current visibility based definition of light, moderate and heavy snow is inadequate for aircraft deicing purposes because it doesn't give a reliable indication of the liquid equivalent rate of snowfall. The liquid equivalent rate is the primary factor causing deicing fluids to fail, and is critical to know in order to determine the correct holdover time for the conditions.
- 2. Need the FAA to make it a requirement to use the following definition of snowfall intensity operationally:

Light: Less than or equal to 10 g/d<sup>2</sup>/hr

Moderate: Between 10 and 25 g/d<sup>2</sup>/hr

Heavy: Greater than or equal to 25 g/d<sup>2</sup>/hr

and to report these conditions operationally every 1 minute.

#### **Result:**

## Meetings with the Office of the Federal Coordinator of Meteorology

All agencies agree in principal to this change.

The National Weather Service proposed a new criteria to determine light, moderate, heavy snow at surface station sites (ASOS) that have liquid equivalent gauges.

The installation of the new OTT liquid equivalent gauge at all NWS ASOS sites could provide liquid equivalent rates at all major airports impacted by winter weather.

The FAA, however, has subsequently objected to this definition, so no changes have been made.

#### PRECIPITATION RATE ANALYSIS NATURAL SNOW - ABOVE 0°C



#### CUMULATIVE PRECIPITATION RATE ANALYSIS NATURAL SNOW ABOVE 0°C



#### CUMULATIVE PRECIPITATION RATE ANALYSIS NATURAL SNOW ABOVE 0°C



#### PROBABILITY OF SNOW IN EACH HOT TABLE TEMPERATURE RANGE – TYPE I FLUIDS

Temperature (°C)	Very Light Snow	Light Snow	Moderate Snow	Heavy Snow	Total
-3 and above	20.1%	8.0%	9.9%	1.2%	39.2%
below -3 to -6	12.4%	5.2%	6.1%	0.8%	24.4%
below -6 to -10	11.6%	4.1%	4.9%	0.6%	21.2%
below -10	9.0%	2.6%	3.1%	0.5%	15.2%
Total	53.2%	19.9%	24.0%	3.0%	100.0%

#### PROBABILITY OF SNOW IN EACH HOT TABLE TEMPERATURE RANGE – TYPE II and TYPE IV FLUIDS

Temperature (°C)	Very Light Snow	Light Snow	Moderate Snow	Heavy Snow	Total
above 0	5.8%	2.3%	3.2%	0.3%	11.7%
0 to -3	14.3%	5.6%	6.7%	0.9%	27.5%
below -3 to -14	29.9%	11.0%	13.1%	1.8%	55.6%
below -14 to -25	3.2%	0.9%	1.0%	0.1%	5.2%
below -25	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	53.2%	19.9%	24.0%	3.0%	100.0%

#### PRECIPITATION RATE LIMITS FOR THE EVALUATION OF FLUID HOLDOVER TIMES



Rate of Precipitation (g/dm<sup>2</sup>/hr)

## **METHOD OF DATA ANALYSIS**

Regression analysis that provides a power law relationship is used for each fluid and each dilution for each weather condition





#### 2003-04 TC VISIBILITY BOUNDARIES BELOW -1°C



#### 2003-04 TC VISIBILITY BOUNDARIES ABOVE -1°C



## **PRECIPITATION RATE**

#### VERSUS

VISIBILITY

#### **ACTUAL REPORTED EVENT - YUL**

#### 16 DECEMBER 2005 1137Z

Reported Temperature, Precipitation: -8.0°C, Snow Snow intensity based on reported METAR visibility (1/2): Moderate

> APS Calculated rate of precipitation: 74.3 g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h Snow intensity based on rate: Heavy

#### HOLDOVER TIME TABLES (MODERATE)

Type IV: 25 to 55 Type I: 4 to 6

#### ACTUAL HOLDOVER TIME BASED ON RATE

Type IV: +/- **10** Type I: +/- **2** 



## **AREA OF CONCERN**

- At the high rates of precipitation experienced in heavy snow conditions, any deviation from an accurate assessment of the snow intensity could have detrimental effects
- ✤ True significance of Heavy Snow Rates:
  - → 25 g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h is equivalent to 2.5 mm of water or 2.5 cm of snow per hour
  - → 50 g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h is equivalent to 5 mm of water or 5 cm of snow per hour
  - 75 g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h is equivalent to 7.5 mm of water or 7.5 cm of snow per hour

DATA COLLECTION TO EXAMINE FLIGHT CREW DECISIONS IN WINTER OPERATING CONDITIONS

### **OPERATIONAL DATA COLLECTION**

- Objective: To gather operational information to evaluate actual flight crew de/anti-icing fluid decisions in comparison to optimal decisions based on scientific measurements
  - ✤ November 2004 to April 2005
  - → November 2005 to April 2006

→ Data for 1459 departures at YUL were collected



### **DECEMBER 16, 2005**

Heavy snow conditions prevailed at YUL for nearly 8 consecutive hours

→ 0855Z to 1640Z

Rates between 29 g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h and 113 g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h

Data for 33 departures at YUL were collected



#### **DECEMBER 16, 2005**



#### **EXAMPLE – EXCEEDED HOLDOVER TIME**

#### **16 DECEMBER 2005**

Narrowbody METAR Temperature, Precipitation: -6.0°C, +Snow METAR Visibility: 1/8 SM Calculated rate of precipitation: 50.2 g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h Fluid treatment: Type IV Current holdover time table range (MS): 25 to 55 minutes Aircraft heldover time: 45 minutes

#### HOLDOVER TIME BASED ON RATE

Type IV: 15 minutes



#### **EXAMPLE – EXCEEDED HOLDOVER TIME**

#### **16 DECEMBER 2005**

Narrowbody METAR Temperature, Precipitation: -7.0°C, Snow BLSN METAR Visibility: 1/2 SM Calculated rate of precipitation: 77.1 g/dm²/h Fluid treatment: Type IV Current holdover time table range (MS): 25 to 55 minutes Aircraft heldover time: 20 minutes

#### HOLDOVER TIME BASED ON RATE

Type IV: 10 minutes



#### **UNDERSTANDING THE PROBLEM**

Calculated rate of precipitation: 77.1 g/dm<sup>2</sup>/h

Aircraft heldover time: 20 minutes

#### HOLDOVER TIME BASED ON RATE: 10 minutes

Typical Stabilized Type IV Fluid Film Thickness: 1 to 2 mm

Snowfall during heldover time of aircraft: 2.57 mm LWC

## **Ice Pellets**

"A type of precipitation consisting of transparent or translucent pellets of ice, 5 mm or less in diameter. They may be spherical, irregular, or (rarely) conical in shape. Ice pellets usually bounce when hitting hard ground and make a sound upon impact."

AMS Glossary of Meteorology

Ice pellets are most often formed by the freezing of raindrops. As a result, size distribution of ice pellets similar to that of rain.

## **Ice Pellet Formation**

Vertical Temperature Profile:





ΡE

 $a_{\rm COMPLY}$ 

ъŞ

Mean annual hours of ice pellets from 14 year climatology from 1976 – 1990 by Cortinas, Bernstein, Robbins, and Strapp (2004)







- - - 7

AND REAL AND A REAL PROPERTY.

10.1







## % of Mixed Observations

• TABLE 1. Frequency (%) of concurrent precipitation observations. Columns may not add up to 100% since more than one type of precipitation can be reported (FZRA, freezing rain; FZDZ, freezing drizzle; PE, ice pellets; DZ, drizzle; RA, rain; SN, snow; T, thunder; none, no other precipitation type)

FZRA (%) FZDZ (%) PE (%) SP(%)

		•	, , ,	•
FZRA	-	1	18	1.4
FZDZ	2	-	3	1.5
PE	18	2	-	1.4
DZ	0	0	1	1.2
RA	0	1	18	11.5
SN	14	24	37	8.3
Thunder	1	0	1	0
Hail	0	0	0	0.05
Snow pellets	0	0	0	-
None	69	73	30	75

### Intensity of ice pellets or rain

Intensity	Criteria
Light	Up to 25 g/d <sup>2</sup> /hr
Moderate	25 to 75 g/d <sup>2</sup> /hr
Heavy	Greater than 75 g/d <sup>2</sup> /hr

Federal Meteorological Handbook No.1 Surface Weather Observations and Reports Office of the Federal Coordinator for Meteorological Services and Supporting Research

### Estimating Intensity of ice pellets

(typically used by observers since ASOS does not automatically sense ice pellet type or rate)

Intensity	Criteria
Light	Scattered pellets that do not completely cover an exposed surface regardless of duration. Visibility is not affected.
Moderate	Slow accumulation of the ground. Visibility reduced by ice pellets to less than 7 statute miles
Heavy	Rapid accumulation on ground. Visibility reduced by ice pellets to less than 3 statute miles

Federal Meteorological Handbook No.1 Surface Weather Observations and Reports Office of the Federal Coordinator for Meteorological Services and Supporting Research

## Ice pellet rates estimated by visibility need to be verified!

Example: Ice pellet event occurred at Montreal, Dorval airport on January 18, 2006 (last week) from 0128 to 0200 UTC

Estimated intensity: Light Estimate visibility: 10-15 statute miles

Actual mass accumulation: 50 g/d<sup>2</sup>/hr (measured in a pan by APS Aviation) Actual intensity based on this rate: Moderate

Thus, the actual rate was likely twice the estimated rate by visibility! (light by visibility, moderate by rate)

Possible cause for this descrepancy: Difference in visibility between day and night.

Event occurred at night, when the visibility is twice as high, thus the 10-15 mile visibility should actually have been 5-7 miles, which is moderate intensity.

## **Overall Summary**

- Ice pellet weather events occur during warm fronts due to partial snow melting and re-freezing in a cold layer below a warm nose
- Ice pellet even duration is usually < one hour with a surface temperature > 0 C. This suggests that ice pellets are highly likely to adhere to a wing surface.
- Ice pellet intensity is usually done by an observer using visibility as a criteria for rate. Ice pellet case from Montreal last week suggests that ice pellet rates may be under estimated using visibility, thus a careful evaluation of the technique to estimate ice pellet rate needs to be done.

## Particles with Bulges – 250 cases











Source: Takahashi (1975)







## Summary

The images of 1023 particles were analyzed from a 4 hour ice pellet event:

- Average size of 1 mm.
- At most 15% of the particles were spherical.
- Most ice pellets were bulged, fractured, irregular or had spicules.
- Approximately 9% of the particles were aggregates with between 2 and 5 components.

## **Overall Summary**

- Ice pellet weather events occur during warm fronts due to partial snow melting and re-freezing in a cold layer below a warm nose
- Ice pellet even duration is usually < one hour with a surface temperature > 0 C. This suggests that ice pellets are highly likely to adhere to a wing surface.
- Ice pellet intensity is usually done by an observer using visibility as a criteria for rate. Ice pellet case from Montreal last week suggests that ice pellet rates may be under estimated using visibility, thus a careful evaluation of the technique to estimate ice pellet rate needs to be done.

## **Concluding Remarks**

Some preliminary points can be made in terms of the formation of ice pellets during this event:

- Many appear to be formed by freezing from the outside in.
- Some particles join to form aggregates, others do not at the same time.
- Variety of shapes and formation mechanisms present simultaneously at the surface.



## **Visibility Based Snowfall Chart**

**Modified Visibility Criteria for Snow Intensity Based on Temperature** 

#### **Day or Night**

Time	Ter	np.	Visibility (Statute Mile)						
of Day	(°C)	(°F)	≥1 1/4	1	3/4	1/2	≤1/4		
Dev	≤-1	≤ 30	Light	Light	Light	Moderate	Heavy	- \	
Day	> 1	> 30	Light	Light	Moderate	Heavy	Heavy	/fal sit)	
Ni-ch4	<b>≤</b> -1	≤ 30	Light	Light	Moderate	Heavy	Heavy	ten	
night	>-1	> 30	Light	Moderate	Heavy	Heavy	Heavy	Sr In	

#### TABLE 7. SNOWFALL INTENSITIES AS A FUNCTION OF VISIBILITY

**NOTE:** Based upon technical report, "The Estimation of Snowfall Rate Using Visibility,"

Rasmussen, et al., Journal of Applied Meteorology, October 1999.

#### FAA TYPE I HOLDOVER TIME GUIDELINE Table 1. FAA Guideline for Holdover Times Anticipated for SAE Type I Fluid Mixture as a Function of Weather Conditions and OAT.

CAUTION: THIS TABLE IS FOR DEPARTURE PLANING ONLY AND SHOULD BE USED IN CONJUNCTION WITH PRE-TAKEOFF CHECK PROCEDURES.

Outsi Temperat	ide Air ture ( <b>OAT)</b>		Approximate Holdover Times Under Various Weather Conditions (hours: minutes)									
ů	°F	Active Frost	Freezing Fog	Very Light Snow <sup>◆◆</sup>	Light Snow <b>◆</b> ◆	Moderate Snow <sup>◆◆</sup>	**Freezing Drizzle	Light Freezing Rain	Rain on Cold Soaked Wing	Other <sup>‡</sup>		
-3 and above	27 and above	0:45	0:11 - 0:17	0:18-0:22	0:11 - 0:18	0:06 - 0:11	0:09 - 0:13	0:02 - 0:05	0:02 - 0:05*	CAUTIO N:		
below -3 to –6	below 27 to 21	0:45	0:08 - 0:13	0:14-0:17	0:08 - 0:14	0:05 - 0:08	0:05 - 0:09	0:02 - 0:05	CAUTION: Clear ice may require touch for confirmation	holdover time guideline s exist		
below -6 to –10	below 21 to 14	0:45	0:06 - 0:10	0:11-0:13	0:06 - 0:11	0:04 - 0:06	0:04 - 0:07	0:02 - 0:05				
below -10	below 14	0:45	0:05 - 0:09	0:07-0:08	0:04 - 0:07	0:02 - 0:04						