



### The Role of Weather in Enhancing Aviation Efficiency and Reducing Carbon Emissions: Application of the Global Oceanic Model

A. Izadi, N. Hinze, A. Zolfaghari, J. Seo, and A. Trani Air Transportation Systems Laboratory



#### April 19-20, 2022





## Outline of the Presentation

### **Global Oceanic Model Weather Enhancements**

Benefit Analysis Remote Oceanic Meteorology Information Operational (ROMIO) Demonstration

**Convective Weather Avoidance Analysis** 

Simulation-Based Analysis

### Global Oceanic Model Conflict Detection and Resolution Enhancements

Conflict detection and resolution for oceanic separation standards described in FAA JO 7110.65Y: Air Traffic Control

Technical specifications contained in Advanced Technologies and Oceanic Procedures (ATOP): Algorithm Specifications, NAS-MD-4714.





## Acknowledgements

- Thanks to Eldridge Frazier (FAA), Gary Pokodner (FAA), Cathy Kessinger (UCAR), and Matthias Steiner (UCAR) for support during the ROMIO project
- Thanks to Joe Post (FAA) for leading the Global Oceanic Model Enhancements (Phase IV) effort
- Thanks to the Advanced Surveillance Enhanced Procedural Separation (ASEPS) Team including David Christina, Dan Howell, Rob Dean, and James Scott
- Kimberly Noonan (FAA) and Paul Truong (FAA) participated in the modeling discussions



**Pilot surveys** August 2019





### **Benefits of ROMIO Demonstration** Using the Global Oceanic Model

**Final Report to the Federal Aviation Administration** 

**Benefit Analysis of Remote Oceanic Meteorology** Information Operational (ROMIO) Demonstra **Benefit Analysis of Remote Oceanic Meteorology** American

Arman Izadi, Nicolas Hinze, H

Statistical analysis of

Weather deviation

September 2019

flights

analysis

Air Transportati

Vir

Information Operational (ROMIO) Demonstration: Volume III U.S. Global Oceanic Model Phase IV



Arman Izadi, Nicolas Hinze, Navid Mirmohammadsade

Air Transportation Systems Lab

Virginia Tech

- **ROMIO** simulationbased analysis
- Injury analysis
- June 2020

N. Hinze, A. Zolfaghari, and A. Trani			
Virginia Tech Air Transpor	***	on Systems Laboratory	
	•	Added oceanic conflict	
		detection and resolution	
Dista		algorithms described in	
Blacks		FAA JO 7110.65Y	
August	•	August 2021	

UrginiaTech



### **Global Oceanic Model**





- Provides 15-minute convective weather update
- iPad or Surface Tablet hardware/software

VirginiaTech

Invent the Future



## Virginia Tech "95%" of the Pilots Perceive Equal or Improved Situational Awareness with ROMIO (110 surveys)

- 54% of the flights involved in the survey performed a weather deviation
- Pilots comment that ROMIO provides 10-minutes of additional time to plan weather deviations compared to on-board weather radar
- ROMIO provides excellent capability for cabin crew coordination
- Average weather deviation for flights in survey is 29 nautical miles







## Example of Weather Deviation in the Amazon Region

Aircraft Type: B777-300ER







## Weather Conflict Detection and Resolution

The weather conflict detection and resolution is programmed using the rules and strategies from 18,632 oceanic flights

1) Historical flight analysis

2) FAA advisory circular for thunderstorms (AC-0024C)

Deviation Alternative	Lateral Deviation	Travel Distance in CDO Contours	Min Distance to Severe and Extreme CDO Contours
D1	0 (Flight Plan)	Medium: 28 nm High: 26 nm Severe: 4 nm	0 nm
D2	30 nm to the Right	Medium: 22 nm High: 22 nm	$4 \mathrm{nm}$
D3	50 nm to the Right		24  nm



## Strategic Decision-Making for Avoiding Convective Weather Beyond Onboard Radar

WirginiaTech







# ROMIO-Enabled Scenario Statistics : Flights that Deviate from Weather and Affected Flights

Fligh	Flights affected by traffic deviation and					
Fligr	ts that deviated from their original track		Average	Average	Average	Average
due to weather		Fuel	Travel	Travel	Greenhouse	
	Scenario Set		Consumption	Distance	Time	Gas Emission
			Saving	Saving	Savings	Saving
			(kg)	(nm)	$(\min)$	(kg)
	Medium Traffic, Moderately-Dynamic	Weather	86	9.1	1.5	271
	Medium Traffic, Highly-Dynamic W	Teather	84	7.6	1.0	264
	High Traffic, Moderately-Dynamic W	Veather	88	8.3	1.4	277
	High Traffic, Highly-Dynamic Wea	ather	91	6.9	1.0	289
	Average		87	8.0	1.2	<b>275</b>
<b>Flights</b>	that deviated from their original track		Average	Average	Average	Average
Flights due to	that deviated from their original track weather		Average Fuel	Average Travel	Average Travel	Average
Flights due to	that deviated from their original track weather Scenario Set		Average Fuel Consumption	Average Travel Distance	Average Travel Time	Average Greenhouse Gas Emission
Flights due to	that deviated from their original track weather Scenario Set		Average Fuel Consumption Saving	Average Travel Distance Saving	Average Travel Time Savings	Average Greenhouse Gas Emission Saving
Flights due to	that deviated from their original track weather Scenario Set		Average Fuel Consumption Saving (kg)	Average Travel Distance Saving (nm)	Average Travel Time Savings (min)	Average Greenhouse Gas Emission Saving (kg)
Flights due to	that deviated from their original track weather Scenario Set Medium Traffic, Moderately-Dynamic	Weather	Average Fuel Consumption Saving (kg) 120	Average Travel Distance Saving (nm) 13.6	Average Travel Time Savings (min) 1.7	Average Greenhouse Gas Emission Saving (kg) 379
Flights due to	<ul> <li>Sthat deviated from their original track weather</li> <li>Scenario Set</li> <li>Medium Traffic, Moderately-Dynamic Medium Traffic, Highly-Dynamic W</li> </ul>	Weather	Average Fuel Consumption Saving (kg) 120 119	Average Travel Distance Saving (nm) 13.6 12.7	Average Travel Time Savings (min) 1.7 1.7	Average Greenhouse Gas Emission Saving (kg) 379 377
Flights due to	<ul> <li>Sthat deviated from their original track weather</li> <li>Scenario Set</li> <li>Medium Traffic, Moderately-Dynamic Medium Traffic, Highly-Dynamic Weigh Traffic, Moderately-Dynamic Weigh Tra</li></ul>	Weather Veather Veather	Average Fuel Consumption Saving (kg) 120 119 97	Average Travel Distance Saving (nm) 13.6 12.7 14.1	Average Travel Time Savings (min) 1.7 1.7 1.6	Average Greenhouse Gas Emission Saving (kg) 379 377 306
Flights due to	<ul> <li>that deviated from their original track weather</li> <li>Scenario Set</li> <li>Medium Traffic, Moderately-Dynamic</li> <li>Medium Traffic, Highly-Dynamic W</li> <li>High Traffic, Moderately-Dynamic W</li> <li>High Traffic, Highly-Dynamic Weather</li> </ul>	Weather Veather Veather ather	Average Fuel Consumption Saving (kg) 120 119 97 122	Average Travel Distance Saving (nm) 13.6 12.7 14.1 15.7	Average Travel Time Savings (min) 1.7 1.7 1.6 2.0	Average Greenhouse Gas Emission Saving (kg) 379 377 306 386

ROMIO May Reduce in the Number of Lateral Deviation Maneuvers in all Regions Simulated

VirginiaTech

Invent the Future



Air Transportation Systems Laboratory



#### Historical Flights with Injuries and Cost of Injuries



(a) Types of convective-induced incidents.

VirginiaTech

Invent the Future

(b) Distribution of number of injuries.

 Table 9: Annual convective-induced turbulence statistics.

Injury	Number of Injuries	Percentage of	FAA Injury Value
Category	(2009-2014)	Injuries	value
Serious	26	57%	1,084,469
Minor	20	43%	30,947

Source: Campbell et al. (2015) – MIT Lincoln Laboratory Study





## Summary of Findings

- ROMIO Weather Product
  - Pilot surveys shows that ROMIO provides 10-minute additional situation awareness to plan weather deviations vs on-board weather radar

#### Convective Weather Deviation Analysis

- Analysis of 18,326 commercial flights shows that strategic weather deviations using ROMIO could save 1.6 minutes per flight (355 lbs. in fuel savings per flight)
- Annual fuel consumption savings of **6.8** million pounds of fuel savings

#### Simulated-Based Benefits Analysis

- Considered South America and North Atlantic traffic
- 115 kilograms (253 lbs.) saved per flight
- Annual fuel savings: \$15.3 million
- Injury and Airframe Mitigation Cost
  - 20% reduction in potential exposure to severe convective weather events
  - \$5.54 million in injury avoidance for Atlantic Ocean flights





## More Information about the Virginia Tech/FAA Global Oceanic Model

- Dr. Antonio A. Trani
  - Director
  - Air Transportation Systems Laboratory
  - Virginia Tech
  - vuela@vt.edu

- Mr. Nicolas Hinze
  - Senior Research Associate
  - Air Transportation Systems Laboratory
  - Virginia Tech
  - nhinze@vt.edu

